UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,300	12/01/2003	Ronald Adrianus Caarls	30758/39867	2879
7590 03/08/2007 Michael R. Hull Miller, Matthias & Hull Siute 2350 One North Franklin Street Chicago, IL 60606			EXAMINER	
			SILBERMANN, JOANNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	
			4	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MONTUS		02/09/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/725,300	CAARLS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
•	Joanne Silbermann	3611		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailing to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 December 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-19</u> is/are pending in the appending of the above claim(s) <u>7 and 15</u> is/are withden 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6,8,10-14,16,18 and 19</u> is/are respectively. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 17</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/725,300 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of species II (Figure 3) in the reply filed on 18 December 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that species III would not require any additional search. This is not found persuasive because this species requires a recess for the light source, which is not included in species II.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 7 and 15 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 18 December 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5, 8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kingston, US #3,968,584.
- 5. Kingston discloses an illuminated sign comprising housing 15 (Figures 1 and 2), front plate 12 mounted to the housing, at least one three-dimensional body 13, light source 7 and light guide 5 for coupling light from the source to the body. Light guide 5

Application/Control Number: 10/725,300 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

comprises a plate-like structure made from light-transmitting material and extends parallel to the front plate and engages the three dimensional body.

- 6. All the surfaces of the light guide are coupled and engage to the three dimensional body.
- 7. The width of the end of light guide 5 is smaller than the width of the three dimensional body as shown in Figure 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kingston.
- 10. Kingston does not specifically teach the light guide as containing a fluorescent substance, however it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize such a substance since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.
- 11. Claims 6, 14, 16, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kingston in view of Shelton, US #5,536,558.
- 12. Kingston (as discussed above) does not teach a beveled edge of the plate, however such edges are well known in the art as shown by Shelton. Shelton teaches

Application/Control Number: 10/725,300

Art Unit: 3611

an illuminated display including beveled edges (column 11 lines 33-42). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize such beveled edges as in Shelton on the display of Kingston so as to provide further illumination to the display.

- 13. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kingston in view of Green et al. US #3,751,319.
- 14. Kingston does not teach applying a paste to form the three dimensional body, however such paste is well known in the art as shown by Green et al. Green et al. show a display including a hardening resin which is applied on the surface of the display. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to apply such a layer to the display of Kingston to provide a non-yellowing protective layer, as is taught by Green et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

15. Claims 9 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joanne Silbermann whose telephone number is 571-272-6653. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 - 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,300

Art Unit: 3611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Joanne Silbermann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611 Page 5

js 05 March 2007